



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,495	04/23/2001	Kiyoshi Matsutani	Q63352	2595
65565 7590 02/10/2009 SUGHRUE-265550 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213				
EXAMINER				
BORLINGHAUS, JASON M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3693				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/10/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/839,495

Applicant(s)

MATSUTANI, KIYOSHI

Examiner

JASON M. BORLINGHAUS

Art Unit

3693

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JASON M. BORLINGHAUS.(3) DIALLO CRENSHAW.

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yese) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 3 and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner Borlinghaus and Diallo Crenshaw, attorney for applicant, discussed the outstanding 112, 2nd paragraph, rejections from the non-final rejection mailed on 11/12/08. Mr. Crenshaw explained differences in the claim language utilized in the claims to counter the 112, 2nd paragraph, rejections. Despite the explanation, Examiner Borlinghaus suggested that claim language be amended to make the minor difference in claim language more apparent.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jason M Borlinghaus/
Examiner, Art Unit 3693